

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/010,965 12/07/2001 Paul J. Datta KCC-16,986 5676 35844 7590 06/21/2004 **EXAMINER** PAULEY PETERSEN & ERICKSON REICHLE, KARIN M 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD HOFFMAN ESTATES, IL 60195 **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 3761

DATE MAILED: 06/21/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Υ Ι
Advisory Action	10/010,965	DATTA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Karin M. Reichle	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ thou raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE:			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12, 40-43</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 13-39.			
8.⊠ The drawing correction filed on <u>07 June 2004</u> is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10.⊠ Other: <u>See attached PTO-948</u>			
		Karin M. Reichle Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3761	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks appear to be narrower than the claim language and the teachings of the prior art. For example, it is again noted, see Claim Language Interpretation section in the FINAL, that passively bonded side seams between the ear panels is not required. Then note page 17, lines 1-2 of the remarks. With regard to especially page 18, first 7 lines and last 5 lines and the first full paragraph on page 19, Applicants remarks are narrower than Johnson teachings because col. 5 and Figs. 9A-9G are directed to one embodiment, see again col. 6, lines 29-30 and the paragraph bridging cols. 7-8, for example, which explicitly set forth that the members are not only made from the same web. Attention is again invited to the discussion of the rejection in the FINAL. Therefore such remarks were deemed not persuasive with regard to the prior art rejection.